

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/724,882 | 12/02/2003 | Yoshihiro Uetani | Q78640 | 1657 |
| 00000 | 7590 02/15/2007 | | EXAMINER | |
| SUGHRUE-26 2100 PENNSY | 5550 LVANIA AVE. NW | | DESAI, ANISH P | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1771 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/15/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ | 7 |
|---|---|
| _ | |
| | |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/724,882 | UETANI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Anish Desai | 1771 | |

| | Anish Desai | 1771 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, affi itice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final reject FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN | | | | |
| extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since | | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered b | ecause | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w); | TE below); | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rei | ected claims | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | • | colou ciaims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | moliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | inplicate / unconditione | (1 102 02 1). | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | |
| 0. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | | |
| | | APD | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment is not entered because newly amended claim 1 recites limitations of "a polymer layer formed on the porous film substrate so as to be in contact with the porous film substrate" and "in a state that the crosslinking polymer is not polymerized", which requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant's response is not found persuasive, because it relies on an amendment that is not entered.

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700